Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,290	TAKIZAWA, TETSURO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ERIC LOONAN	2189
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) ERIC LOONAN.	(3)	
(2) Katherine Vieyra (47,155).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 January 2009	Time: <u>2:00pm EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
2, 7, 12, 17		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner called applicant's representative to discuss some minor claim objections with the claim language. Applicant's representative agreed with Examiner's proposed changes to the claim language. The Examiner stated that the changes would be entered via Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)